organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Piotr W. Osiński,^a Jakub Saadi,^a Stefan Ricken,^a Markus Schürmann,^a Hans Preut,^a* Michal Pietrusiewicz^b and Peter Eilbracht^a

^aFachbereich Chemie, Universität Dortmund, Otto-Hahn-Strasse 6, 44221 Dortmund, Germany, and ^bOrganic Chemistry Department, M.C. Sklodowska University, GlinianaStrasse 33, 20614 Lublin, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: uch002@uxp1.hrz.uni-dortmund.de

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 291 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.007 Å R factor = 0.032 wR factor = 0.082 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. (–)-Menthyl (S_P,R_S)-(2-*p*-tolylsulfinylethyl)phenylphosphinate

The title compound, $C_{25}H_{35}O_3PS$, is a chiral phosphinate containing five stereogenic centers, one at the P atom, one at the S atom and three in the (–)-menthyl group. The crystal packing reveals that molecules are linked into chains along the *a* axis by intermolecular C–H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions.

Comment

The title compound, (I), was easily prepared by the basepromoted coupling of homochiral (R)-(p-tolyl)vinylsulfoxide (Buese & Hogen-Esch, 1985) with a P-epimeric mixture of phenylphosphinate diastereoisomers of (-)-menthyl (Farnham et al., 1970). The resulting diastereomeric mixture was subsequently fractionally crystallized. The best solvent system proved to be diethyl ether/pentane. After recrystallization, the first pure diastereomer, which was not suitable for X-ray determination, was isolated. After recrystallization from diethyl ether of the remaining material (mother liquor), the second diastereomer was isolated as a single stereoisomer. This increased the yield of separation and made both diastereoisomers synthetically available. More importantly, this study enabled the configuration of the P chiral center to be determined as $(S_{\rm P})$.



The synthetic utility of (I) was exemplified by the elimination of the sulfoxide substituent, which proceeds readily in refluxing toluene (72% conversion after 5 h). The expected product, (-)-menthyl (S)-phenylvinylphosphinate might serve as a *P*-chirogenic olefinic substrate in hydroformylation and related reactions (Eilbracht *et al.*, 1999). The elimination of sulfoxide performed on a pure diastereoisomer resulted in the formation of a single diasteromerically pure product, proving the phosphorus stereogenic center remains unaffected under the applied conditions.

In the crystal structure, molecules are linked by $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen-bonding interactions, forming a chain along the *a* axis. In addition, $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions involving the phenyl ring (centroid *Cg*) bonded to P are observed (Table 1).

Received 17 February 2006 Accepted 1 March 2006

01248 Osiński et al. • C₂₅H₃₅O₃PS

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography

All rights reserved

with $I > 2\sigma(I)$



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the labelling of all non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

Experimental

(-)-Menthyl phenylphosphinate (2.80 g, 10 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (100 ml) under an argon atmosphere. DBU (1,8 diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) (1.52 g, 1 equivalent) and (R)-(p-tolyl)vinylsulfoxide (1.66 g, 1 equivalent) were added and the reaction mixture was sealed under argon and stirred. After 14 d (when no substrate was visible on TLC), the contents of the flask were washed with 20 ml of 5% HCl, and the organic layer was washed with water, and dried over MgSO4. The adduct was purified via column chromatography, using trichloromethane and acetone in a 10:1 ratio as eluent, to give 3.22 g (72%) of the product as a mixture of diastereomers (1:1). This material was dissolved in small amount of warm diethyl ether (ca 5 ml), a few drops of pentane were added and the solution was left to stand overnight. Cotton-like precipitation was then observed. The precipitate was filtered off and the procedure was repeated to obtain 0.74 g (23%) of a diastereomerically pure compound (m.p. 382.6–383.0 K); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +71$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃).

The remaining material (mother liquor) was evaporated and dissolved in a small amount of warm diethyl ether (*ca* 4 ml) and the solution was left overnight. The crystals that formed were filtered off to obtain 0.68 g (21%) of pure compound (I) (m.p. 385.5–385.8 K); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +90$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃). MS (FAB+ LR): m/z (%) 447.2 (M + H⁺), (55); exact mass (FAB+ HR): 447.2147 (M + H⁺), (100), (calculated for C₂₅H₃₅O₃PS: 447.2124). Spectroscopic data are available in the deposited CIF.

Crystal data

 $C_{25}H_{35}O_3PS$ $M_r = 446.56$ Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ a = 5.6199 (11) Å b = 16.824 (3) Å c = 26.870 (5) Å $V = 2540.6 (9) Å^3$ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.167 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 10129 reflections $\theta = 3.0-29.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 291 (1) KBlock, colourless $0.15 \times 0.09 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

| Nonius KappaCCD area-detector | 1555 reflections |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| diffractometer | $R_{\rm int} = 0.042$ |
| w scans | $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$ |
| Absorption correction: none | $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$ |
| 10129 measured reflections | $k = -19 \rightarrow 20$ |
| 4029 independent reflections | $l = -31 \rightarrow 31$ |
| * | |

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Refinement on } F^2 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032 & \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.10 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ wR(F^2) = 0.082 & \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.09 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ S = 0.89 & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97 \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ corrections \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinctions \\ 4029 \ reflections & Extinction \ corrections \\ 4029 \ reflections \\ 4029 \ reflections \\ 4$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

| $D - H \cdots A$ | D-H | $H \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ |
|------------------------------------------------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| $C2-H2A\cdots O3^{i}$ $C29-H29A\cdots Cg^{ii}$ | 0.97 | 2.29 | 3.085 (4) | 139 |
| | 0.96 | 2.86 | 3.695 (6) | 146 |

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii) -x, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.

H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C-H = 0.93-0.98 Å, and were refined as riding, with $U_{iso} = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl groups and $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for others; the methyl groups were allowed to rotate but not to tip.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *DENZO* and *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO* and *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1991); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*, *PARST95* (Nardelli, 1995), *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

References

Buese, M. A. & Hogen-Esch, T. E. (1985). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 4509–4513.

- Eilbracht, P., Bärfacker, L., Buss, C., Hollmann, C., Kitsos-Rzychon, B. E., Kranemann, C. L., Rische, T., Roggenbuck, R. & Schmidt, A. (1999). *Chem. Rev.* 99, 3329–3366.
- Farnham, W. B., Murray, R. K. & Mislow, K. (1970). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 5809–5810.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). *SHELXTL-Plus*. Release 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7–13.

1.107 Mg m